United States Department of Agriculture

Forest Service



Southeastern Forest Experiment Station

General Technical Report SE-41

# Effects of Fire on Southern Pine: Observations and Recommendations

Dale D. Wade and R.W. Johansen



#### Front Cover

Damage 1 month after a 3/6/86 headfire in an 8-year-old slash pine plantation near Waycross, GA. Pines in the central foreground were completely crown scorched. The candles visible on some terminal leaders show those buds survived the fire. Dead needles in the upper crowns have already dropped, whereas branch cambium in the lower crowns was heat-killed precluding formation of an abscission layer at the needle base. Thus, the dead foliage on those branches will remain attached for long periods of time. Photo taken by Wayne Adkins, Southern Forest Fire Laboratory.

September 1986

Southeastern Forest Experiment Station P.O. Box 2680 Asheville, North Carolina 28802

### Contents

Introduction	•	•	•	•	1
Plant Susceptibility					
Root Damage	•	•	•	•	2
Bole Damage	•	•	•	•	3
Crown Damage · · · · ·	•	•	•	•	5
Growth Effects	•	•	•	•	6
Minimizing Tree Damage					
Tree and Stand Factors •	•	•	•	•	8
Burning Weather. • • • •	•	•	•		8
Season of Burn · · · ·					9
Conclusions	•	•	•	•	10
literature Cited					11

			•
			-
		·	
			-
			-

## Effects of Fire on Southern Pine: Observations and Recommendations

Dale D. Wade, Project Leader R.W. Johansen, Research Forester

Southern Forest Fire Laboratory Dry Branch, Georgia

#### **ABSTRACT**

This overview systematically discusses fire damage as it relates to all parts of the tree: available literature is critiqued, apparent contradictions resolved, and some commonly held misconceptions dispelled. Suggestions for avoiding fire damage during prescribed burns are given.

Neywords: Fire damage, fire injury, crown scorch, fire mortality, bark char.

#### Introduction

In the Southern United States the intentional use of fire has evolved over the past three centuries into a highly sophisticated tool, currently used on more than 4 million acres of southern pine forest land each year. The traditional means of treating this acreage has been with backfires. These fires, if properly conducted, are of relatively low intensity and cause little if any needle scorch once the pines reach 20 to Backfires are slow 25 feet in height. moving, however, generally spreading less than 150 feet per hour, which necessitates numerous interior plowlines or lengthy burnout times. This slow rate of spread coupled with the limited occurrence of days with acceptable prescribed burning conditions means that the number of acres actually treated during a given year frequently falls far short of the number planned. Many resource managers attempt to reach their acreage goals by burning under marginal weather conditions, extending the winter burning season to encompass early fall and late spring, or resorting to line

headfires, which take much less time to complete but which burn with increased intensity. Inherent in all these practices is the greatly increased potential The additional acreage for fire damage. burned by utilizing these more risky practices is small, however, compared to the gains realized from emerging aerial ignition techniques. Now thousands of acres can be burned during a given day under close to ideal conditions that, incidentally, occur when litter moisture is slightly damper than desirable for line backfires.

Aerially ignited spotfires solve many of the problems associated with backing fires, but they have one potentially major drawback: although this technique results in fires that burn in all directions, most of the area is exposed to heading or flanking flames; and the higher fire intensities produced increase the potential for crown scorch. Intensities are also magnified along the merging zones as the spots burn Thus the resource manager together. needs to use care in selecting burning conditions to prevent excessive heat from developing under the stand. If a mistake is made and intensities are higher than desired, what deleterious effects might a forest manager expect? and how can they be assessed? Report attempts to answer these questions and, in so doing, dispel some commonly held misconceptions. Although the yellow or hard pines are emphasized -- in particular, the four major southern pines--much of the information presented pertains to other pine species as well.

Plant susceptibility to fire varies among species as well as among individuals within a species. Di fferences between species are generally attributed to bark thickness, rooting depth, crown characteristics, and the relative density of the stand (Brown and Davis Within a species, the age of the tree, season of the year, prefire and postfire soil moisture conditions, and ambient temperature at the time of the fire are additional factors thought to Knowledge of these and be important. other environmental, physiological, and phenological factors can be used by a resource manager to more accurately predict the fate of fire-damaged trees. Literature reviews describing some of these factors and their effects include Hare (1961), Langdon (1971), McArthur (1980), Ryan (1982), and Spalt and Reifsnyder (1962). Kulman (1971) presents an excellent review of the effects of insect defoliation which, like fireinduced crown damage, results in at least a temporary reduction in photosynthetic capacity.

The threshold temperature at which plant tissue death is instantaneous varies slightly by species but is generally considered to be 141 °F. Lower temperatures can also be lethal if sustained long enough. For example, Nelson (1952) found that the time needed to kill the needles of four southern pine species averaged less than 1 minute at 138 "F. almost 5 minutes at 131 °F, and over 11 minutes at 124 °F. Ursic (1961) found that tissue death occurred in 2 hours at 118 °F and Hare (1961) found it did not occur below 113 °F in the 20 species he reviewed. Gentile and Johansen (1956) reported 100 percent mortality of sand pine (Pinus clausa) and slash pine (P. elliottii var. elliottii) seedlings after immersion of their root systems in a 125°F water bath for 17 minutes, whereas immersion in 116 °F water for 25 minutes had no When measured by effect on survival. heating individual plant cells, death has been shown to occur at 108 °F in eastern white pine (P. strobus) (Thimann and Kaufman 1958) and between 118 and

122 °F in longleaf pine (P. palustris) (Hare 1965b). Death of a-few cells is of little consequence, but as additional amounts of live tissue are killed, the fate of a tree becomes increasingly dependent (up to a point) upon postfire environmental conditions and is much more difficult to predict correctly. Fire can damage the roots, bole, or crown of a tree; a single fire can, and often does, impact all three zones.

#### Root Damage

Hare (1961) stated that roots are most susceptible to heat injury because they have no specialized exterior protection. Rut root damage is generally assumed not to be a problem during prescribed burning, provided the roots are below the soil surface and the soil is moist. As the humus and upper soil dry out during periods of drought, however, less protection is provided (Beadle 1940), and shallow-rooted plants can be killed. Heyward (1934) reported that a "very hot" spring wildfire on the Georgia Coastal Plain killed all longleaf pine feeder roots within the top inch of soil, but he thought they would be quickly replaced. Geiszler and others (1984) examined the susceptibility of Sierra lodgepole pine (P. contorta var. murrayana) roots to low-intensity wildfires by comparing root mortality within a meter radius of each stem to the amount of charred bark on the stem. Retween 14 and 33 percent of the trees with no evidence of bark char and no burned fuels at their base nonetheless had fire-killed roots. char was observed on more than 66 percent of a tree's basal circumference, the probability of associated root-kill approached 100 percent. McConkey and Gedney (1951) found the number of firekilled or severely injured visible roots was a better indicator of future mortality in eastern white pine stands than was crown scorch.

The senior author has been involved with seemingly innocuous prescribed fires in south Florida dry prairie ecosystems. Fine fuel loads were less than 2 tons/acre and, except under the scattered clumps of South Florida slash pine

(P. elliottii var. densa), fuel continuity was such that backfires would not carry well, residence times were short. and no scorch was observed. Yet the older pines were dead 4 months later, with no outward evidence of injury or Furthermore, intermixed infestation. vounger trees showed no visible signs Although the root systems of stress. were not excavated, a plausible explanation is that the fire killed the upper feeder roots of all trees, and only the vounger ones were able to put out new rootlets in a timely fashion.

The reintroduction of fire into stands after long periods of exclusion can also result in root and lower bole Low-intensity fires may smolder in the domelike accumulations of sloughed bark and deep needle accumulations beneath the trees, resulting in their death months later. This phenomenon has been noted in loblolly (P. taeda) and shortleaf (P. echinata) pines (Ferguson and others 1960), in ponderosa pine (P. ponderosa) (Herman 1954), and in sugar pine (P. lambertiana) (Wagener 1955). Although fire can damage existing roots, it also stimulates new root growth as evidenced by increased fine root density the year following fire, apparently in response to recycled nutrients (Kummerow and Lantz 1983).

Some investigators, for example Swaine and Craighead (1924), have reported considerable root mortality associated with crown defoliation from insect attack. We speculate that this same association would result from a heat-induced loss of foliage. Redmond (1959) found that with less than 70 percent defoliation by spruce budworm (Choristoneura fumiferana), young balsam firs (Abies balsamea) were able to produce new rootlets and survive but that mature and overmature trees could not do so.

#### Bole Damage

If the cambium tissue is killed completely around the bole at any height below the live crown, the stem will die back to this girdle unless it can be bridged with new tissue. Although pitch

(P. rigida) and shortleaf pines can sprout basally when young, and pond pine (P. serotina) can stem-sprout as well, most other North American pines are unable to do so and thus girdling below the live crown invariably results in Death, however, may take their death. some time to manifest itself. Al though the phloem is heat-killed. the xylem may not be affected, thereby allowing water to continue to reach the tree crown. It can take 1 to 2 years for the welldeveloped root systems of large, vigorous trees to exhaust their food Wyant and others reserves and die. (1986) state that most long-term studies show fire-related mortality peaks the second growing season following fire, but the literature does not support this contention. Instead, it shows the preponderance of fire-caused mortality occurs within the first full postfire growing season unless secondary factors such as insects are involved.

The aboveground cambium depends upon the bark for protection, and the insulating ability of this outer skin varies considerably within and between species (Ryan 1982; Spalt and Reifsnyder 1962). Of the many bark characteristics studied in the efforts to determine and rank fire resistance by tree species, two of the most important are thought to be thickness (Reifsnyder and others 1967) and the presence of an outer layer of dead bark (Vines 1968), especially in pines whose bark tends to be more corky (Stickel 1941).

Fahnestock and Hare (1964) found that bark thicker than 0.5 inch protected longleaf pine cambium during Although we have not prescribed fires. measured the relationship between bark thickness and survival in the field, we have a fairly large data base (over 1,000 trees) relating basal diameter When combined with pubto survival. lished bark thickness to stem diameter ratios (e.g., Pederick 1970), our data show that bark considerably thinner than 0.5 inch will protect young loblolly and slash pines during low-intensity dormant-Hare (1965c) subjected 14 season fires. southern tree species to an external He was able to divide the heat pulse.

species into five fire-resistance classes based on bark thickness and its insulating efficiency. Longleaf pine and slash pine formed the most resistant class; loblolly pine and baldcypress (Taxodium distichum), the second most resistant class.

The bark of longleaf and slash (Martin 1963), and loblolly (Minor 1953) pines thickens with age and diameter, the latter being the more important. But at a given age or diameter, thickness can vary considerably, depending upon such factors as seed source (McMinn 1967) and crown position. Pederick (1970) showed that bark thickness of loblolly pine is a strongly inherited characteristic; as a result, bark thickness varies widely between trees of comparable size and age. He also concluded that the bark of Piedmont populations is inherently thicker than that of Coastal Plain populations. McNab (1977) found that 85 percent of the variation in survival following low-intensity wildfire in a dense loblolly pine stand was explained by differences in bark thickness. Wahlenberg (1960) found that suppressed loblolly pines were much slower to de-This fact velop thick, insulating bark. has direct implications when using fire as a thinning tool. Because bark thickens with age, the bark at the base of a pine is much thicker than that farther up the stem. Phillips and Schroeder (1972) found that the bark thickness of lo-inch d.b.h. slash and loblolly pines at the height representing 25 percent of merchantable height (about 15 feet) was 50 percent less than that at ground level. From this point upward the decreases continued. but at a much more gradual In their study, slash pine had slightly thicker bark  $(\bar{x} = 0.95)$  inch at tree base) than loblolly pine  $(\bar{x} = 0.85 \text{ inch at tree base})$ . Pederick (1970) measured the bark thickness at various stem heights on young loblolly pines averaging 6 inches d.h.h. The bark at 1.5 feet was more than twice as thick as it was at 15 feet. He found the ratio of double-bark thickness to inside bark stem diameter decreased from 0.202 at 1.5 feet to 0.144 at 10 feet

and then less rapidly to 0.112 at 20 feet. From a theoretical standpoint, doubling the bark thickness will increase the lethal exposure time by a factor of 4.

Intui ti vel v. it would seem that tree girth should be an important factor in determining fire susceptibility. Because wood acts as a heat sink, the greater the volume of a section of stem, the greater its ability should be to retard temperature buildup in the cam-Moreover, live wood has a much higher moisture content than the dead outer bark, which means these live tissues have a much higher thermal conductivity and can thus more rapidly dissipate a fire-generated heat pulse. The fact that the cross-sectional area of the stem increases as the square of the diameter means that as a tree gets larger, it becomes increasingly more difficult to girdle in a single fire. The importance of tree girth as a factor in determining cambial temperature responses is currently a topic of debate Both Robert E. among fire researchers. Martin' and Ralph M. Nelson, Jr., 2 have derived equations which show stem diameter exerts a pronounced influence, but these equations have yet to be field veri fi ed.

It is often assumed that the more rapid passage of a heading fire will cause less heating of the lower stem than a slower moving backing fire. Even though peak temperatures are not as high, the longer duration of elevated temperatures along the lower stem during passage of the backing fire are thought to be more likely to produce lethal temperatures at the cambium. However, the actual situation is not so clear cut. Headfires always spread faster than backfires under the same burning conditions, but they also have a deeper (horizontal) flame zone; thus, residence

<sup>&#</sup>x27;Martin, Robert E. 1985. Personal communication. University of California, Department of Forestry and Resource Management, 145 Mulford Hall, Berkeley, CA 94720.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Nelson, Ralph M., Jr. 1985. Unpublished data on file, Southern Forest Fire Laboratory, Route 1, Box 182A, Dry Branch, GA 31020.

times are often about equal. Also, during backfires most of the litter fuels are consumed in the flame front, whereas residual flaming and smoldering combustion continue after passage of headfire flame fronts. The net result, according to measurements by Fahnestock and Hare (1964), is that the very base of a tree is subjected to about the same total amount of heat energy in both backfires and headfires. But this does not mean the total heat energy released is equivalent for both heading and Assuming the caloric backing fires. contents of the fuel beds are comparable, the total amount of heat energy released is simply a function of the total amount of fuel consumed. In the above study, headfires consumed 8.7 tons of fuel/acre. 2 tons/acre more than This additional released backfires. heat was distributed farther up the tree stems primarily because of the higher flames associated with headfires. However, it is widely accepted and supported by both laboratory (Beaufait 1965; Nelson 1982) and field (Hough 1968, 1978) studies that backfires usually consume more forest floor fuels. In these cases, backfires should do a better job of "cooking" the stem because their heat is concentrated at the base of a tree, as demonstrated by the results of Bruce (1951) and Lindenmuth and Byram (1948) in longleaf pine. Again, contrasting results have been reported (e.g., Davis and Martin 1960), and many questions also remain regarding the net result of differences in bark mechanical and thermal properties related to tree height and diameter.

Several methods of assessing cambial damage in tree boles have been used. These include the physical examination of the cambium (Mann and Gunter 1960; Miller and others 1961), sometimes with the help of viability test stains (Hare 1965a; Kayll 1963); the use of a moisture meter (Hare 1960); the amount of pitch bleeding (Fahnestock and Hare 1964; Mann and Gunter 1960); and the presence of bark beetles (Mann and Gunter 1960). Ferguson (1955) found basal damage as indicated by cupping of the bark, combined with crown scorch,

to be useful in assessing damage to loblolly and shortleaf pines.

Although bark char height is an indicator of fire intensity, Bourgeois (1985) was unable to correlate it with the growth of young loblolly pines, nor has it been found to be highly correlated with pine mortality (Herman 1954: Villarrubia and Chambers 1978) unless it was noted within the crown (Dixon and others 1984; Storey and Merkel 1960). These results strongly imply that any correlation between high bole char ratios and mortality is simply an analog of heavy crown damage. Cooper and Altobellis (1969) conducted a study in loblolly pines less than 6 inches d.b.h. to determine whether they are killed by bole damage, crown damage, or both. Results indicated a fire intense enough to kill the stem cambium also killed the Van Wagner (1970) stated he tree crown. had yet to find large red (P. resinosa) or eastern white pines that-died of stem cambial damage alone, although young Wade (1985) found that all trees do. loblolly pines at least 4 years old with less than 75 percent crown scorch were still alive the fall after dormantseason wildfires. Data in Cain (1985) show all pines more than 5 feet tall with less than 95 percent crown scorch survived the first growing season following a planned headfire in an overcrowded 9-year-old loblolly stand. Live crown consumption, if it occurred, was not specified. At the other end of the scale are pines such as lodgepole (P. contorta var. latifolia) that retain relatively thin bark throughout their lives and are thus susceptible to cambial damage even in old age (Peterson Gara and others (1986) found cambial tissue was killed whenever the bark of lodgepole pine was charred.

#### Crown Damage

Once southern pines reach 2 to 3 inches d.b.h., mortality resulting from fire will generally be caused by damage to the tree crown. But this does not mean, in spite of the commonly held misconception to the contrary, that needle scorch is the principal cause of pine

Dormant-season fires can-mortality. and often do--completely defoliate a tree without killing it as long as enough buds and branch cambium survive. What constitutes "enough" depends upon such factors as prefire tree vigor. postfire weather, and tree species. Needle kill is a prerequisite to bud kill in those species whose buds are equal to or larger than the needles in cross section because in this case buds have a higher heat capacity (Byram 1958). Thus the larger the bud, the more heat resistant it is. **Hare** (1961) states that the bud scales. like bark. are insulating tissues.

But how can an observer on the ground determine whether the buds or branch cambium have been thermally killed? Perhaps the easiest method is to use needle consumption as an indicator of bud and cambium death. Temperatures of about 400 °F are required to ignite the foliage, and these are high enough to kill surrounding In fact. the data meristematic tissue. presented by many authors show little mortality in southern pine until crown scorch approaches 100 percent (Allen 1960; Ferguson 1955; Mann and Gunter 1960; Van Loon 1967; Villarrubia and Chambers 1978; Wade and Ward 1975; Waldrop and Van Lear 1984). But as crown consumption begins, dramatic increases in mortality take place (McCulley 1950; Storey and Merkel 1960; Wade 1985). This same phenomenon has been noted in the West with Jeffrey pine (P. jeffreyi) and ponderosa pine (Dieterich 1979: Herman 1954: Lynch 1959: Pearson and others 1972; Wagener 1955; Wyant and others 1986) and with red pine and eastern white pine in the Lake States and Ontario (Dochinger 1963; Methven 1971: Sucoff and Allison 1968; Van Wagner 1963).

Postfire damage surveys should be conducted within 2 to 3 weeks after a fire, before the scorched foliage falls. Otherwise, neither consumption nor prefire limb death and foliage loss (e.g., from insect activity) can be accurately assessed without close individual branch inspection, such as done in the study described by Wyant and others (1986).

Dead foliage retained for much longer periods indicates that the branches themselves have also been killed, precluding development of an abscission layer at the needle base.

#### **Growth Effects**

As might be expected, even when partial defoliation does not result in tree death, it can adversely affect McCulley (1948, 1950) presented data showing that height growth of slash pine less than 7 inches in diameter was suppressed for 3 years following fire even when the tree crowns were not Two years after a winter scorched. prescribed burn, Johansen and Wade<sup>3</sup> found that the growth of 7- to 9-inch diameter slash pines with less than 10 percent crown scorch was only 85 percent of that of adjacent unburned trees. However, many of the trees on these spotfire burn plots were subjected to much higher scorch levels: perhaps some root mortality took place even under the slightly scorched trees. Landsberg and others (1984) documented reduced growth of prescribed burned 45-year-old ponderosa pine compared with adjacent unburned control trees four growing seasons after treatment. They attributed this reduced growth in part to measured reductions in crown needle mass on the burned areas, which in turn resulted in less total foliar nitrogen (although no differences in foliar N concentration were found between treatments).

Where both radial and height growth have been studied after heavy scorch, height growth has generally been found to be more severely depressed (McCulley 1948, 1950; Wahlenberg and others 1939) although Bourgeois (1985) and Johansen (1975) found the opposite to be true. It should be noted that although radial growth is traditionally measured at d.b.h., the lower stem is the zone of slowest growth and thus the least responsive to defoliation (Kulman 1971).

<sup>&#</sup>x27;Effects of crown scorch on survival and growth of plnes. (Manuscript in process, Dry Branch, GA.)

Though growth losses can be substantial (Barrett 1928: Mann and Rhame 1955; Morrell 1932; Wakeley 1931; Wyman 1922), predisturbance growth rates are usually achieved again within a few years (Bickford and Curry 1943; McCulley 1948, 1950; Stone 1942) even after near-complete needle loss (Cary 1932; Wade and Ward 1975). Exceptions, however, can be found (e.g., Evenden 1940).

Some early investigators recorded a continued depression of growth in southern pine with annual fires (Mackinney 1931, 1934; Paul 1926), whereas later workers (e.g., Sackett Trees surveyed by these 1975) have not. workers were of comparable age, so the difference in results is likely due to differences in behavior or timing of the fires--neither of which were described in the earlier reports. The authors note without comment that most results of deleterious growth effects due to fire were published during the time when a sharply focused Federal effort was underway to halt the centuries-old southern tradition of "burning off the The results of a fully replicated study described by Boyer (1982), however, cannot be as easily dismissed. He found that the growth of 19-year-old longleaf pines was retarded by biennial burning treatments begun when the stand was 12 years of age.

On the other hand, many studies have documented increased growth rates after light-to-moderate crown scorch (up to 60 percent in one study) when compared with unscorched controls. Early workers (e.g., McCulley 1948, 1950) often ignored such increases or attributed them to experimental error. Wyant and others (1983) give an excellent discussion of the effects of a dormant-season fire on ponderosa pine shoot growth the following Because shoot length growing season. and the number of fascicles and needles (factors determined during bud formation) did not differ between treatments, the authors concluded that the physiological processes within the dormant buds were not affected by the fire. In contrast, fascicle growth and the size of buds formed the season following fire were

both significantly greater on trees in Johansen (1975) documented a first-year postfire increase in radial growth of lo-year-old slash pine if crown scorch was less than 15 percent. Height growth increased for all scorch classes below 85 percent. Even those trees with 100 percent defoliation but no consumption had shown significant (0.05 level) radial and height growth increases over the check trees within four growing seasons. Gruschow (1951. 1952) reported that the 5-year average diameter and height growth of "lightly" scorched slash pine exceeded that of the Morris and Mowat unburned controls. (1958) found a like response in ponderosa pine 6 years postfire. Somes and Moorhead (1954) noted increased diameter growth of prescribed burned shortleaf pine (they did not measure height growth) compared with trees on unburned control plots 8 years after fire.

Mechanical pruning of loblolly (Wahlenberg 1960), longleaf (Wahlenberg 1946), ponderosa (Barrett 1968), shortleaf (Walker and Wiant 1966) and slash pines (Bennett 1955) has not shown these same positive growth responses. They have, in fact, demonstrated the increased retardation of both radial and height growth with increasing increments of crown removal. tree growth increases after fire-caused lower branch mortality are not just a response to the removal of older, lessproductive branches. Reduced understory competition and recycled nutrients are undoubtedly factors. Stark and Cook (1957) showed that 40 percent defoliation by insects (which was distributed throughout the whole crown rather than progressing from the bottom up) was the threshold for both height and radial growth losses in mature lodgepole pine, whereas young trees could withstand up to 50 percent defoliation without radial Cook (1961) found that increment loss. the amount of increment loss was positively correlated to the length of time defoliation (from insects) exceeded 40 Ryan (1982) pointed out that percent. young, rapidly growing trees on good sites can withstand a much greater reduction in the ratio of live crown to total height than can older, slower

Following a January fire growing trees. in lo-year-old slash pine, Grissom (1985) charted monthly radial growth throughout the remainder of the year. Radial growth of the severely scorched (>40 percent) trees was much less than that of their unscorched companions during the spring but had completely recovered by fall. Midday xylem potential (sap pressure) in the scorched trees was higher although still negative, suggesting these trees were under He postulated this less water stress. reduction was due at least in part to smaller live crowns and thus reduced transpiration and subsequent water demand.

Craighead (1927) reported fire defoliation of shortleaf pine resulted in growth abnormalities such as missing rings on the lower stem the year after a burn. Missing rings after defoliation have also been reported for other pines (Dieterich and Swetnam 1984: Kulman 1965: Kulman and Hodson 1963; O'Neil 1963). Other investigators (Jemison 1943; Van Loon 1967; Waldrop and Van Lear 1984) found no reduction in postfire growth even with complete defoliation. However, when analyzing radial growth from increment cores as done by some of the above authors, missing rings will be overlooked if the cambium is This overused as the reference point. sight not only leads to erroneous results but can also lead to a complacent attitude regarding fire intensity Johansen and attendant crown scorch. and Wade4 describe the dramatic 1-year growth loss that occurred over two growing seasons following a fire that severely scorched much of a 25-year-old slash pine plantation; 60 percent of the trees with over 95 percent crown scorch did not put on a growth ring at d.b.h. the first postburn growing season.

Minimizing Tree Damage

#### Tree and Stand Factors

Bark thickness and twig size, as well as bud size and the amount of

foliage protecting the growing tips. influence the probability of fireinduced crown damage and provide a method to rank fire resistance. Longleaf pine with its stout terminal branches (0.5 inch or larger) and large buds, which are further protected by encompassing clusters of 10- to 12-inch-long needles, is the most fireresistant of all pines. Chapman (1936) wrapped tissue paper around the buds of 1- to 3-foot-high longleaf pine seedlings and found it was not even scorched during the ensuing "hot" fire. The three other major southern pines have twigs that are more slender, generally between 0.125 to 0.25 inch in diameter, Needle length and much smaller buds. also differs, with shortleaf pine having the shortest, loblolly next, and slash pine approaching that of longleaf pine. That bark thickness is positively correlated with diameter means branch cambium is not as well insulated as stem cambium and is therefore more responsive to changes in ambient air temperature. Thus during the day, the preburn temperature of the branch cambium will generally be closer to the lethal plant cell temperature than will be the stem cambium, especially when the tree crown is in direct sunlight.

The higher the base of the live crown, the safer it is from lethal temperatures generated by a burning under-As the crown becomes denser and longer, more heat is intercepted, thereby shielding the upper crown. There is little doubt that vertical flow is impeded by dense canopies, but whether this results in higher scorch heights as suggested by Ryan (1982) is Openings in dense canopies debatable. will invariably result in increased crown scorch of the peripheral trees as the hot gasses are vented upward through these gaps.

#### Burning Weather

The greater the windspeed within the stand, the faster the gaseous combustion products will be mixed with cooler air and dissipated horizontally ahead of the fire instead of rising directly up into the overhead tree crowns. The greater

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>See footnote 3.

the windspeed, the farther the flames will be bent over, thereby increasing the distance radiant heat (which cools as the square of the distance) must travel to reach the crowns. The advantage of greater windspeed, however, has to be tempered with the fact that flame length and depth, and thus fireline intensity, also increase with increasing windspeed.

According to Byram (1948, 1958), the most important parameter determining crown damage is the preburn vegetation temperature which is dependent upon the ambient air temperature. Vegetati on temperature can, in fact, sometimes exceed ambient temperature by more than 40 °F because of solar radiation. For example, assuming a lethal temperature of 140 °F and a straight-line relationship, it follows that about twice the amount of heat will be needed to kill foliage with an initial temperature of 50 °F than is needed at 90 °F. When weather conditions are favorable for underburning, temperature differences in a tree crown will not approach this magnitude because of the cooling action of the wind. Ryram (1948) described a substantial increase in fire tolerance when ambient temperature dropped to 29 °F. Below this temperature, water in the foliage freezes and large quantities of heat are needed to convert it back to a liquid.

#### Season of Burn

The remaining factor that resource managers can control is the time when burning takes place in relation to the physiological state of the trees (dormant or active). Meristematic tissue appears to be more heat resistant during the dormant season (Hare 1961; Jameson 1961; Kay11 1968). When a bud breaks dormancy in the spring, it pushes through the protecting bud scales and elongates beyond the protective needles, temporarily becoming much more vulnerable to heat injury. Alexandrov (1964) presented data showing the process of hardening off during the fall increased tissue heat resistance of several species of grass 5 to 6 °F during the Whether this phenomenon occurs winter.

in pine and, if so, to what extent, has yet to be determined. Levitt (1972) described the mechanics of this increased thermotolerance but failed to recognize its potential significance. Although perhaps not much of a problem in the Deep South, Kulman (1971) cites several authors who show that late summer defoliation leaves twigs unlignified and thus subject to winter damage.

If the literature relating crown scorch to mortality is separated by season of burn, the results are strik-Trees with near-total foliage scorch but no crown consumption resulting from fires between October through March had a much higher likelihood of survival than those with similar damage caused by fires from April through September. Craighead (1940) demonstrated that complete defoliation of 10- to 25-foot-tall jack pine (P. banksiana) and Scotch pine (P. sylvestris) during the growing season will cause 100 percent mortality. He mechanically removed all foliage at different times of the year for up to 3 years. He found that removal of all foliage during the growing season (either about a month after new growth started in the spring or at the end of the growing season) caused tree death. On the other hand. Wilson (1966) completely defoliated Scotch pine prior to new foliage development in the spring for 3 consecutive years without any mortal i ty.

Kramer and Wetmore (1943) found that spring defoliation of six species of evergreen shrubs in North Carolina resulted in increment loss but August defoliation resulted in death. Wilkinson and others (1966) reported 75 percent mortality in jack pine defoliated by second-generation redheaded jack pine sawflies (Neodiprion rugifrons). 0'Neil (1962) found complete mechanical defoliation of jack pine in early August invariably resulted in death of the individual. Beal (1942) reported the same result in loblolly and shortleaf pines with complete late-summer defoliation by redheaded pine sawflies (N. lecontei). He further noted that trees

partially to completely overtopped by hardwoods were much more susceptible to mortality (33 percent of the shaded pines in the 26 to 50 percent defoliation class died, whereas none of the unshaded pines succumbed). Differences in survival after defoliation, especially when early in the growing season, may be primarily due to the fact that some pines--notably loblolly, longleaf. shortleaf, and slash--do not have fully preformed buds and thus undergo several successive needle flushes during the Species such as red growing season. pine, eastern white pine, and ponderosa pine with fully preformed buds are, on the other hand, limited to a single growth flush per year (Kramer and Kozlowski 1979). Thus, species with preformed buds that are defoliated after the spring needle flush have to wait until the following spring to refoliate. A study is currently underway at the Southern Forest Fire Laboratory to quantify the ability of the multinodal southern pines to refoliate after growing-season defoliation.

#### Concl usi ons

There are times when prescribed burns are conducted at higher fire intensities than planned, resulting in total scorch of all needles in a tree crown. But the effects may not be as calamitous as they at first appear. Southern yellow pines will usually not die from even total crown scorch provided that the buds are not killed and the fire occurs when the trees are dormant. The manager must, however, be

ready to accept the loss of approximately 1 year's diameter growth over the next 2 years when maximum crown scorch is incurred. If, however, severe crown scorch occurs when conifers with preformed buds are physiologically active, the likelihood of mortality is high. All pines are more vulnerable during this period because elevated preburn ambient temperatures mean the buds are more likely to receive a lethal heat dose from the fire.

Three instances where special care should be exercised when prescribed burning under a pine overstory are:
(1) during the growing season, (2) during initial burns after long fire-free periods because of the potential for root and lower bole damage beneath the smoldering domelike duff accumulations, and (3) when using line headfires or the flying driptorch (which rapidly creates line headfires as the spots merge) because of the potential for unacceptably high fire intensities.

Nonetheless, prescribed burning, including aerial ignition techniques, can be used without fear of undue damage during the dormant season by adhering to established guidelines: Burn when the ambient air temperature is below 60 "F, relative humidity exceeds 35 percent, wind direction is steady, windspeed is between 1 and 3 mi/h at midflame height, and litter moisture content exceeds moisture of extinction (35 percent) at the soil-litter interface. On a given day, fire intensity can be regulated by the choice of ignition technique.



- Alexandrov. V. YA. Cystophysiological and cytoecological investigations of heat resistance of plant cells toward the action of high and low temperature. Quarterly Review of Biology 39:35-77; 1964.
- Allen, Peter H. Scorch and mortality after a summer burn in loblolly pine. Res. Notes 144. Asheville, NC: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southeastern Forest Experiment Station; 1960. 2 pp.
- Barrett, James W. Pruning of ponderosa pine. Res. Pap. PNN-68. Portland. OR: U.S. Decartment of Acriculture, Forest Service, Pacific' Northwest Forest and Range Experiment Station; 1968. 9 pp.
- Barrett, L. I. Fire damage done to a mature loblolly pine stand. Naval Stores Review 38(18):11; 1928.
- Beadle, N.C.W. Soil temperatures during forest fires and their effect on the survival of vegetation. Ecology 28:180-192;1940.
- Beal, J.A. Mortality of reproduction defoliated by the red-headed pine sawfly (Neodiprion recontei Fitch). Journal of Forestry 40(7):562-563; 1942.
- Beaufait, W.R. Characteristics of backfires and headfires in a pine needle fuel bed. Res. Note INT-39. Ogden, UT: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Intermountain Forest and Range Experiment Station; 1965. 7 pp.
- Bennett, Frank A. The effect of pruning on the height and diameter growth of planted slash pine. Journal of Forestry 53(9):636-638; 1955.
- Bickford, C.A.; Curry, John R. The use of fire in the protection of longleaf and slash pine forests.
  Occas. Pap. 105. Asheville. NC: U.S. Decartment of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southeastern Forest Experiment Station; 1943. 22 pp.
- Bourgeois, O.M. Growth and mortality of dominant and co-dominant trees in prescribed burned pine plantations--first-year results. Rep. 6-85. Summerville. SC: Westvaco, Southern Woodlands; Hay 1985.5 pp.
- Boyer, Yilliam O. Growth of young longleaf pine as affected by biennial burns plus chemical or mechanical treatments for competition control. In: Southern silvicultural research conference; 1982 November 4-5; Atlanta, GA. New Orleans, LA: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southern Forest Experiment Station; 1982:62-64. [Available from George M. Andrews Forestry Sciences Laboratory, Auburn, AL]
- Brown, Authur A.; Davis, Kenneth P. Forest fire control and use. New York: McGraw-Hill; 1973:46-78.
- Bruce, David. Fire, site, and longleaf height growth. Journal of Forestry 49(1):25-28; 1951.
- Byram, George M. Some basic thermal processes controlling the effects of fire on living vegetation. Res. Notes 114. Asheville, NC: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southeastern Forest Experiment Station; 1958. 2 pp.

- Byram, George M. Vegetation temperature and fire damage in the southern pines. Fire Control Notes 9(4):34-36; Uashington, DC: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service; 1948.
- Cain, Michael D. Prescribed winter burns can reduce the growth of nine-year-old loblolly pines. Res. Note SO-312. New Orleans, LA: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southern Forest Experiment Station; 1985. 4 pp.
- Cary, Austin. Some relations of fire to longleaf pine. Journal of Forestry 30:(5) 594-601; 1932.
- Chapman, H. H. Effect of fire in preparation of seedbed for longleaf pine seedlings. Journal of Forestry 34(9):852-854; 1936.
- Cook, J.A. Growth reduction in lodoepole pine defoliated by the needle miner, Evagora (Recuvaria) starki Freeman. Forestry Chronic e 37:237-241; 1961.
- Cooper, Robert W.; Altobellis, Anthony T. Fire kill in young loblolly pine. Fire Control Notes 30(4):14-15; Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service: 1969.
- Craighead, F.C. Abnormalities in annual rings resulting from fires. Journal of Forestry 25(7):840-842; 1927.
- Craighead. F.C. Some effects of artificial defoliation on pine and larch. Journal of Forestry 38(11):885-888; 1940.
- Oavis, Lawrence S.; Martin, Robert E. Time-temoerature relationships of test head fires and backfires. Res. Notes 148. Asheville, NC: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southeastern Forest Experiment Station; 1960. 2 pp.
- Dieterich, John H. Recovery potential of fire-damaged southwestern ponderosa pine. Res. Note RM-379. Fort Collins, CO: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station; 1979. 8 pp.
- Dieterich. John H.; Swetnam, Thomas W. Dendrochronology of a fire-scarred ponderosa pine. Forest Science 30(1):238-247; 1984.
- Dixon, Wayne N.; Corneil, Jeffrey A.; Wilkinson, Robert C.; Foltz, John L. Using stem char to predict mortality and insect infestation of fire-damaged slash pines. Southern Journal of Applied Forestry 8(2):85-88; 1984.
- Oochinger, L.S. Artificial defoliation of eastern white pine duplicated some effects of chlorotic dwarf disease. Res. Note CS-16. Columbus, OH: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Central States Experiment Station; 1963. 6 pp. [Available from Northeastern Forest Experiment Station, Broomall, PA]
- Evenden, James C. Effects of defoliation by the pine butterfly upon ponderosa pine. Journal of Forestry 38(12):949-955; 1940.
- Fahnestock, George R.; Hare, Robert C. Heating of tree trunks in surface fires. Journal of Forestry 62(11):799-805; 1964.

- Ferguson, E.R.; Gibbs. C.B.; Thatcher, R.C. "Cool burns" and pine mortality. Fire Control Notes 21(1):27-29. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service; 1960.
- Ferguson, Edwin R. Fire-scorched trees--will they live or die? In: Proceedings, 4th annual forestry symposium; 1955 April 16-17; Baton Rouge, LA: Louisiana State University; 1955. (pp.102-113).
- Gara, R.I.; Agee, J.K.; Littke, W.R.; Geiszler, D.R. Fire wounds and beetle scars. Journal of Forestry 84(4):47-50; 1986.
- Geiszler, D.R.; Gara, R.l.; Littke, W.R. Bark beette infestations of lodgepole pine following a fire in south central Oregon. Zeitschrift für Angewandte Entomologie 98(4):389-394; 1984.
- Gentile, A.C.; Johansen. R.W. Heat tolerance of slash and sand pine seedlings. Res. Notes 95. Asheville, NC: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southeastern Forest Experiment Station; 1956.1p.
- Grissom, James Edwin. Effect of crown scorch on water status and growth of slash pine trees. Gainesville: University of Florida; 1985. 50 pp. Thesis.
- Gruschow, George F. Effect of winter burning on growth of slash pine In the flatwoods. Journal of Forestry 50(7):515-517; 1952.
- Gruschow, George F. Effect of winter burning on slash pine growth. Southern Lumberman 183(2297):260, 262, 264; 1951.
- Hare, R.C. Chemical test for fire damage. Journal of Forestry 63(12):939; 1965a.
- Hare, R.C. Simple hot stage for studying critical cell temperatures. Canadian Journal of Botany 43(6): 777-779; 1965b.
- Hare, Robert C. Contribution of bark to fire resistance. Journal of Forestry 63(4):248-251; 1965c.
- Hare, Robert C. Detecting dead cambium with a moisture meter. Journal of Forestry 58(10):815-817; 1960.
- Hare, Robert C. Heat effects on living plants. Occas. Pap. 183. New Orleans, LA: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southern Forest Experiment Station; 1961. 33 pp.
- Herman, F.R. A guide for marking fire-damaged ponderosa pine in the Southwest.-Res. Note 13. Fort Collins. CO: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service; Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station; 1954. 4 pp.
- Heyward, Frank. Comments on the effect of fire on feeding roots of pine. Savannah Weekly Naval Stores Review and Journal of Trade 44(19):4; 1934.
- Hough, W.A. Estimating available fuel weight consumed by prescribed fires in the South. Res. Pap. SE-187. Asheville, NC: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southeastern Forest Experiment Station; 1978. 12 pp.
- Hough, W.A. Fuel consumption and fire behavior of hazard reduction burns. Res. Pap. SE-36. Asheville, NC: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southeastern Forest Experiment Station; 1968. 7 pp.

- Jameson, Donald A. Meat and desiccation resistance of important trees and grasses of the pinyon-juniper type. Botany Gazette 122:174-179; 1961.
- Jemison. George M. Effect of single fires on the diameter growth of shortleaf pine in the Southern Appalachians. Journal of Forestry 41(8):574-576; 1943
- Johansen. R.W. Prescribed burning may enhance growth of young slash pine. Journal of Forestry 73(3):148-149;1975.
- Kayll, A. J. Heat tolerance of Scots pine seedling cambium using tetrazolium chloride to test viability. Publ. 1006. Ottawa. Canada: Department of Forestry, Forest Research Branch; 1963. 12 pp.
- Kayll, A.J. Heat tolerance of tree seedlings. In: Proceedings, 8th annual Tall Timbers fire ecology conference; 1968 March 14-15; Tallahassee, FL: Tall Timbers Research Station; 1968; 8:89-105.
- Kramer, P.J.; Wetmore, T.H. Effects of defoliation on old resistance and diameter growth of broad-leaved evergreens. American Journal of Botany 30:428-431; 1943.
- Kramer, Paul J.; Kozlowski, T. J. Physiology of woody plants. New York: Academic Press; 1979. 811 pp.
- Kulman, H.M. Effects of artificial defoliation of pine on subsequent shoot and needle growth. Forest Science 11:90-98; 1965.
- Kulman, H.M. Effects of insect defoliation on growth and mortality of trees. Annual Review of Entomology 16:289-324; 1971.
- Kulman, H.M.; Hodson, A.C. Distribution and effects of jack-pine budworm defoliation. Forest Science 9:146-157; 1963.
- Kummerow, J.; Lantz, R.K. Effect of fire on fine root density in red shank (Adenosostoma sparsitofium Torr.) chaparral. Plant and Soil 70(3):347-352; 1983.
- Landsberg, J.D.; Cochran, P.H.; Finck, M.M.; Martin, R.E. Foliar nitrogen content and tree growth after prescribed fire in ponderosa pine. Res. Note PM-412. Portland, OR: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Forest and Range Experiment Station; 1984. 16 pp.
- Langdon, O. Gordon. Effects of prescribed burning on timber species in the southeastern coastal plain. In: Proceedings, Prescribed burning symposium; 1971 April 14-16; Charleston, SC; 1971:34-44; [Available from Southeastern Forest Experiment Station, Asheville, NC]
- Levitt, J. Responses of plants to environmental stresses. New York: Academic Press; 1972. 697 pp.
- Lindenmuth, A.W., Jr.; Byram, George M. Headfires are cooler near the ground than backfires. Ffre Control Notes 9(4):4-g. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service; 1948.
- Lynch, Donald W. Effects of a wildfire on mortality and growthof young ponderosa pine trees. Res. Note 66. Ogden, UT: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Intermountain Forest and Range Experiment Station; 1959.8 pp.

- Mackinney, A.L. Some effects of three annual fires on growth of longleaf pine. Journal of Forestry 32(8):879-881; 1934.
- Mackinney, A.L. Thirteen annual fires in the longleaf pine type. Bull. 15(37). Asheville, NC: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Appalachian Forest Experiment Station; 1931. 3 pp. [Available from Southeastern Forest Experiment Station, Asheville, NC]
- Mann, W. F., Jr.; Gunter, Erin R. The odds for a firedamaged pine to die. South. For. Notes 126. New Orleans, I.A: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southern Forest Experiment Station; 1960. 2 PP.
- Mann, Y.F., Jr.; Rhame. Tommy. Prescribe-burning planted slash pine. South..For. Notes 96. New-Orleans. IA: U.S. Decartment of Agriculture, Forest Service; Southern Forest Experiment Station; 1955. 2 PP.
- Martin, Robert E. A basic approach to fire injury of tree stems. In: Proceedings, 2d annual Tall Timbers fire ecology conference; 1963 March 14-15; Tallahassee, FL: Tall Timbers Research Station; 1963; 2:151-162.
- McArthur, G.M. Prediction of crown scorch in Pacific Northwest underburns. Seattle: University of Washington, College of Forest Resources; 1980. 176 pp. Thesis.
- McConkey, Thomas W.; Gedney, Donald R. A guide for salvaging white pine injured by forest fires. Res. Notes 11. Broomall. PA: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Northeastern Forest Experiment Station; 1951. 4 pp.
- McCulley, R.O. knagement of natural slash pine stands in the flatwoods of south Georgia and north Florida. Circular No. 845. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service; 1950. 57 pp.
- McCulley, R.O. Progress report on a study of the significant factors affecting fire injury and the effect of such injury on the mortality and growth of slash pine on the Osceola National Forest. Asheville, NC: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southeastern Forest Experiment Station; 1948. 27 pp.
- McMinn, James W. Comparative fire resistance of two seed sources of South Florida slash pine. Res. Note SE-68. Asheville, NC: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southeastern Forest Experiment Station; 1967. 3 pp.
- McNab, W.H. An overcrowded pine stand thinned with fire. Southern Journal of Applied Forestry 1(1):24-26; 1977.
- Methyen, Ian R. Prescribed fire, crown scorch and mortality: Field and laboratory studies on red and white pine. Inf. Rep. PS-X-31. Chalk River, Ontario: Canadian Forest Service, Petawawa Forest Experiment Station; 1971. 10 pp.
- Miller, Sharon R.; Malac, Barry F.; Johnson; John W. A case history of slash and longleaf pine survival following a winter wildfire. Woodland Res. Notes 11. Savannah, GA:Union Bag-Camp Paper Corporation; 1961. 3 pp.

- Minor, Charles O. Loblolly pine bark thickness. LSU For. Notes 1. Baton Rouge, LA: Agricultural Experiment Station; 1953. 2 pp.
- Morrell, Fred. Effects of fire on longleaf pine. Serv. Bull. 16(22):5-6. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service; 1932.
- Morris, William G.; Mowat, Edwin L. Some effects of thinning a ponderosa pine thicket with a prescribed fire. Journal of Forestry 56(3):203-209; 1958.
- Nelson, Ralph M. Observation on heat tolerance of southern pine needles. Stn. Pap. 14. Asheville, NC: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service. Southeastern Forest Experiment Station; 1952. 6 pp.
- Nelson, Ralph M., Jr. An evaluation of the carbon balance technique for estimating emission factors and fuel consumption in forest fires. Res. Pap. SE-231. Asheville, NC: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southeastern Forest Experiment Station, 1982.9pp.
- O'Neil, L.C. Some effects of artificial defoliation on the growth of jack pine (Pinus banksiana Lamb). Canadian Journal of Botany (2):273-280; 1962.
- O'Neil, L.C. The suppression of growth rings in jack pine in relation to defoliation by the Swaine jack-pine sawfly. Canadian Journal of Botany 41(2):227-235; 1963.
- Paul, Benson H. Influence of fires on growth of pine. Southern Lumberman 122(1582):41; 1926.
- Pearson, H.A.; Davis, J.R.; Schubert; G.H. Effects of wildfire on timber and forage production in Arizona. Journal of Range Nanagement 25(4):250-253; 1972.
- Pederick, Leon A. Variation and inheritance of stem form and bark thickness in young loblolly pine. Tech. Rep. 41. Raleigh, NC: North Carolina State University; 1970:8-35.
- Peterson, David L. Predicting fire-caused mortality in four northern Rocky Mountain conifers. In: Convention of The Society of American Foresters proceedings; 1983 October 16-20; Portland, OR: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Southwest Forest and Range Experiment Station; 1984:276-280.
- Phillips, D.R.; Schroeder, James G. Some physical characteristics of bark from plantation-grown slash and loblolly pine. Forest Products Journal 22(10):30-33; 1972.
- Redmond, D.R. Mortality of rootlets in balsam fir defoliated by the spruce budworm. Forest Science 5(1):64-69; 1959.
- Reifsnyder. William E.; Herrington, Lee P.; Spalt, Karl W. Thermophysical properties of bark of shortleaf, longleaf, and red pine. Bull. 70. New Haven, CT: Yale University School of Forestry; 1967. 41 pp.
- Ryan, Kevin C. Evaluating potential tree mortality from prescribed burning. In: Baumgartner, David M., ed. Proceedings, symposium site preparation and fuels management on steep terrain; 1982 February 15-17; Pullman, WA: Washington State University Cooperative Extension; 1982:167-179.

- Sackett, Stephen S. Scheduling prescribed burns for hazard reduction in the Southeast. Journal of Forestry 73(3):143-147; 1975.
- Somes. H.A.; Moorhead, 6.R. Do thinning and prescribed burning affect the growth of shortleaf pine? Res. Notes 34, Broomall. PA: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Wortheastern Forest Experiment Station; 1954. 2 pp.
- Spalt, Karl W.; Reifsnyder, William E. Bark characteristics and fire resistance: a literature survey. New Orleans, LA: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southern Forest Experiment Station, 1962. 19 pp.
- Stark, R.W.; Cook, J.A. The effects of defoliation by the lodgepole needle miner. Forest Science 3(4):376-396; 1957.
- Stickel, Paul W. On the relation between bark character and resistance to fire. Tech. Note 39. Broomall. PA: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Northeastern Forest Experiment Station; 1941. 2 pp.
- Stone, E.L. Effect of fire on radial growth of longleaf pine. South. For. Notes 43. New Orleans, LA: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southern Forest Experiment Station; 1942 (p. 3). 4 PP.
- Storey, Theodore G.; Merkel, Edward P. Mortality in a longleaf-slash pine stand following a winter wild-fire. Journal of Forestry 58(3):206-210; 1960.
- Sucoff, Edward I.; Allison, J.H. Fire defoliation and survival in a 47-year-old red pine plantation. Res. Notes 187. St. Paul, HN: University of Hinnesota; 1968. 2 pp.
- Swaine, J.M.; Craighead, F.C. Studies on the spruce budworm (Cacoecia fumiferana Clem.) Part 1. A general account of the outbreaks, injury and associated insects. Tech. Bull. 37. Dominion of Canada, Department of Agriculture; 1924. 91 pp.
- Thimann, K.V.; Kaufman, D. Cytoplasmic streaming in the cambium of white pine. In: Thimann, K.V., ed. The physiology of forest trees. Mew York: Ronald Press; 1958:479-492.
- Ursic, S. J. Lethal root temperatures of 1-0 loblolly pine seedlings. Tree Planters' Notes 47(12):25-28; 1961.
- Van Loon, A.P. Some effects of a wild fire on a southern pine plantation. Res. Note 21. Taree, New South Wales, Australia: Forestry Commission of New South Wales; 1967. 38 pp.
- Van Wagner, C.E. Fire and red pine. In: Proceedings, 10th annual Tall Timbers fire ecology conference; 1970 August 20-21; Fredericton. New Brunswick. Tallahassee, FL: Tall Timbers-Research Station; 1970;10:211-219.
- Van Wagner, C.E. Prescribed burning experiments: red and white pine. Publ. 1020, Ottawa, Canada: Department of Forestry, Forest Research Branch; 1963.

  27 PP.
- Villarrubia, Charles R.; Chambers, Jim L. Fire: Its effect on growth and survival of loblolly pine (Pinus taeda L.). Louisiana Academy of Science 41:85-93. Baton Rouge, LA: Louisiana State University; 1978.

- Vines, R.G. Heat transfer through bark, and the resistance of trees to fire. Australian Journal of Botany 16:499-514; 1968.
- Wade, Dale D. Survival in young loblolly pine plantations following wildfire. In: Proceedings, 8th national conference on fire and forest meteorology; 1985 April 29-May 2; Detroit, HI. 1985:52-57. [Available from Society of American Foresters, Washington, DC]
- Wade, Dale D.; Ward, Darold E. Management decisions in severely damaged stands. Journal of Forestry 73(9):573-577; 1975.
- Wagener, Willis W. Preliminary guidelines for estimating the survival of fire-damaged trees. For. Res. Notes 98. Berkeley, CA: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, California Forest and Range Experiment Station; 1955. 9 pp. [Available from Pacific Southwest Forest and Range Experiment Station. Berkeley, CA]
- Wahlenberg, W.G. Loblolly pine: its use, regeneration, protection, growth and management. Durham, NC: School of Forestry, Duke University; 1960. 603 pp.
- Wahlenberg, W. G. Longleaf pine: its use, regeneration, protection, growth and management. Washington, D. C.: Charles Lathrop Pack Forestry Foundation; 1946.
  429 pp.
- Wahlenberg. W.G.; Greene, S.W.; Reed, H.R. Effects of fire and cattle grazing on longleaf pine lands as studied at McNeill, Hississippi. Tech. Bull. 683. Washington, OC: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service; 1939. 52 pp.
- Wakeley, Philip C. Effect of a single winter fire on planted slash pine. Forest Worker 7(2):11; 1931.
- Waldrop, Thomas A.; Van Lear, David H. Effect of crown scorch on survival and growth of young loblolly pine. Southern Journal of Applied Forestry 8(1):35-40; 1984.
- Walker, Laurence C.; Wiant, Harry V., Jr. Silviculture of shortleaf pine. Bull. 9. Nacogdoches, TX: Stephen F. Austin State College, School of Forestry; 1966. 60 pp.
- Wilkinson, R.C.; Becker, 6.C.; Benjamin, D.M. The biology of Neodiprion mugifrons, a sawfly infesting jack pine in Wisconsin. Annals of the Entomological Society of America 59:786-792; 1966.
- Wilson, L.F. Effects of different population levels of the European pine sawfly on young scotch pine trees. Journal of Economic Entomology 59:1043-1049; 1966.
- Wyant, James G.; Laven, Richard D.; Omi, Philip N. Fire effects on shoot growth characteristics of ponderosa pine in Colorado. Canadian Journal of Forest Research 13(4):620-625; 1983.
- Wyant, James G.; Omi, Philip N.; Laven, Richard D. Fire induced tree mortality in a Colorado ponderosa pine/Douglas-fir stand. Forest Science 32(1):49-59; 1986.
- Wyman, Lenthall. Results from sample plots in southern pines experiments. Journal of Forestry 20(7):780-787; 1922.

Wade, Dale D.; Johansen, R.W.

Effects of fire on southern pfne: observations and recommendations. Gen. Tech. Rep. SE-41. Asheville, NC: U.S. Department of Agrfculture, Forest Service, Southeastern Forest Experiment Statfon: 1986.

tree: available literature is critiqued, apparent contradictions resolved, and some commonly held misconceptions dispelled. Suggestions for avoiding fire damage during prescribed burns are given. Systematically discusses fire damage as it relates to all parts of the

Kaymords: Fire damage, fire injury, crown scorch, fire mortality, bark char.

Wade, Dale D.; Johansen, R.W.

Effects of ffre on southern pine: observations and recommendations. Gen. Tech. Rep. SE-41. Asheville. NC: U.S. Department of Agrfcul-ture, Forest Service, Southeastern Forest Experiment Station: 1986.

Systematically discusses fire damage as it relates to all parts of the

tree: available literature is critiqued, apparent contradictions resolved, and some commonly held misconceptions dispelled. Suggestions for avoiding fire damage during prescribed burns are given.

Keywords: Fire damage, fire injury, crown scorch, fire mortality, bark



The Forest Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, is dedicated to the principle of multiple use management of the Nation's forest resources for sustained yields of wood, water, forage, wildlife, and recreation. Through forestry research, cooperation with the States and private forest owners, and management of the National Forests and National Grasslands, it strives -as directed by Congress-to provide increasingly greater service to a growing Nation.

USDA policy does not permit discrimination because of race, color, national origin, sex or religion. Any person who believes he or she has been discriminated against in any USDA-related activity should write immediately to the Secretary of Agriculture, Washington, D.C. 20250.